



# FACILITATOR EVALUATION SUMMARY

Understanding Risk Approach for  
**Training:** Management Systems **Facilitator(s):** George  
**Location:** IAS Int' HQ, Brea, CA **Date:** May 6-7, 2019

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Please rate each of the following items, from 1 to 5 (1 = poor, 5 = very good)					
<b>1. Course Content:</b>	<i>√ as appropriate below</i>				
<b>Relevance of course content</b>					
What I wanted/expected			1	1	4
What I received			1	2	3
<b>Success of course in meeting personal objectives</b>					
What I wanted/expected				3	3
What I received			1	2	3
<b>Success of course in meeting advertised objectives</b>					
What I wanted/expected				2	4
What I received				2	4
<b>Comments on course content?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Excellent.</li> <li>• Good teachers and relevant information.</li> <li>• I was all good until we went over ISO 3,000... which is more than what I needed.</li> <li>• I will admit that my folys was on 17025 complying and could not follow the singular application outside of that context.</li> <li>• The content was thorough and provided a comprehensive framework.</li> </ul>					
<b>2. Course Presentation:</b>					
<b>Tutor skills</b>					
What I wanted/expected				2	4
What I received				2	4
<b>Quality of Power Point presentation</b>					
What I wanted/expected				2	4
What I received				2	4
<b>Overall effectiveness of course presentation</b>					
What I wanted/expected			1	1	4
What I received			1	2	3

<b>Comments on course presentation?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Excellent;</li> <li>• Very good;</li> <li>• Dr. George is a class act. Pat is coming along as an instructor.</li> <li>• Presentation was engaging and interactive.</li> </ul>					
<b>3. Which particular aspects did you find most useful?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Practical exercises; The exercises were an excellent way to understand the Matrix and the Fink Method.</li> <li>• Process of Risk Management.</li> <li>• 17025 Specific Parts.</li> <li>• Examples and exercises.</li> </ul>					
<b>4. How could the course have been improved?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Spend more time on Fink Method.</li> <li>• For 31000, Either a little more attention to the pacing of presentation, topics repeat often for this for emphasis out because tedious.</li> <li>• Test questions had too many grammatical errors. Often confusing.</li> </ul>					
<b>5. What topics for other courses would be useful to you?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application of Risk Mitigation in 17020 &amp; 17065.</li> <li>• Internal Auditor Training.</li> <li>• Validation of Methods, Uncertainty Application.</li> </ul>					
<b>6. Do you have any suggestions for improving the course format?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No.</li> <li>• I believe I would have benefited from a 17025 specific class from Risk, with some integration of guideline presented.</li> </ul>					
<b>7. Additional comments?</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None.</li> <li>• None.</li> </ul>					