



ONLINE COURSE EVALUATION SUMMARY

Training: Transition to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Course **Facilitator(s):** Online
Location: Online **Date:** Continuous

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Course Objectives:	<i>√ as appropriate below</i>				
Were they well defined?					4
Were they achieved?					4
Course Content:					
Was the material appropriate?				1	3
Complexity (1=too complex or too simple ← → Perfect=5)				1	3
Was the material clear to you?					4
Volume (1=too much or not enough ← → Perfect=5)				2	2
Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help?				1	3
Website and Course Flow:					
Was the website appropriate to the course?					4
Did the course flow help you meet your course objectives?					4

Participant Feedback	IAS Response
The material was good, but I wanted to know how the accreditation body will assess each of the new sections of the standard.	Each accreditation body should interpret the new requirements exactly as written. Experience, however, indicates that this may not always be the case. The good news is that any deviations in interpretation from the specification given in the standard will be relatively minor, until ILAC (or other authoritative body) provides the accreditation bodies with a common interpretation.

Other comments:

- Good course. We already had 17025 and we needed to learn what had changed