



COURSE EVALUATION SUMMARY

Course: Understanding ISO/IEC 17025:2017 **Trainer(s)** Ned Gravel
Location: Washington, DC **Date:** 22-23 May 2018

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Course Objectives:	√ as appropriate below				
Were you given the opportunity to help define them?					5
Were they well defined?				2	3
Were they achieved?				1	4
Course Content:					
Was the material appropriate?					5
Complexity (1=too complex or too simple ← → Perfect=5)		1		2	2
Was the material clear to you?				3	2
Volume (1=too much or not enough ← → Perfect=5)			1	1	3
Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help?				1	4
Trainer/Facilitator Methods:					
Did the trainer/facilitator allow sufficient discussion?					5
Did the trainer/facilitator encourage participation?					5
Did the trainer/facilitator help bring out new group ideas?					5
Did the trainer/facilitator help close out discussions?			1		4
Would you accept this trainer/facilitator again?					5
Catering and Facility:					
Was the training facility appropriate for the course?					5
Was the lunch and breaks service acceptable?					5

Participant Feedback	MOTIVA Response
I enjoyed the group discussions. I think some more concrete examples would be helpful, particularly for uncertainty calculations	Very true, but that subject actually needs its own course to best deal with the volume of material needed for knowledge uptake of that skill set.
My own position was not advanced enough for this course.	True. In this case, some knowledge of laboratory operations is required.

Other comments:

- I enjoyed the course and it made me interested in getting further training
- Cleared up some gray areas. Thank you. It was a lot of fun.